



MICHAEL R. STYLER
Executive Director

Division of Oil Gas and Mining

JOHN R. BAZA

May 10, 2007

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT 7005 2570 0000 4801 5128

Shannon Peatross Rock-It Stone P.O. Box 538 Heber City, Utah 84032-0538

Subject: Proposed Assessment for Cessation Order #MC2007-03-02, Rock-It Stone, Strawberry

River Quarry (S/013/008), Duchesne County, Utah

Dear Mr. Peatross:

The undersigned has been appointed by the Division of Oil, Gas & Mining as the Assessment Officer for assessing penalties under R647-7.

Enclosed is the proposed civil penalty assessment for the above referenced cessation order. The cessation order was issued by Division Inspector, Paul Baker, on April 18, 2007. Rule R647-7-103 et. seq. has been utilized to formulate the proposed penalty for the violation as follows:

• MC-07-03-02- Violation 1 of 1 \$2,090

The enclosed worksheet specifically outlines how the violation was assessed.

By these rules, any written information which was submitted by you or your agent within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this Cessation Order has been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violation and the amount of penalty. If the violation has not been abated at the time of the proposed assessment, the assignment of good faith points cannot be made. If you feel that you are eligible for good faith, you should supply relevant information to the assessment officer within 15 days of the violation abatement date so that it can be factored into the final assessment.



Otherwise, under R647-7-106, there are two informal appeal options available to you:

- 1. If you wish to informally appeal the <u>fact of the Cessation Order</u>, you should file a written request for an Informal Conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. This conference will be conducted by the Division Director, Associate Director or assigned conference officer. This Informal Conference is distinct from the Assessment Conference regarding the proposed penalty.
- 2. If you wish to review the proposed penalty assessment, you should file a written request for an Assessment Conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter.

If you are also requesting a review of the fact of violation, as noted in paragraph one, the assessment conference will be scheduled immediately following that review.

no Q. Haddock

If a timely request for review is not made, the fact of the cessation order will stand, the proposed penalty(ies) will become final, and the penalty(ies) will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the final assessment. Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Vickie Southwick.

Sincerely,

Daron R. Haddock Assessment Officer

Enclosure: Worksheets

cc: Vickie Southwick, Exec. Sec. Vicki Bailey, Accounting

P:\GROUPS\MINERALS\WP\M013-Duchesne\S0130008-strawberry\non-compliance\MC07-03-02-01\proAssessment-CO1.doc

WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING Minerals Regulatory Program

			Shannon Peatross 07-03-02		ERMIT <u>M0130008</u> ION <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>			
ASSE	ESSMEI	NT DA	ΓΕ <u>May 10, 20</u>	007				
ASSE	ESSMEI	NT OFI	FICER <u>Daron R. 1</u>	Haddock				
I.	HISTORY (Max. 25 pts.) (R647–7-103.2.11)							
	A. Are there previous violations, which are not pending or vacated, which fall within three (3) years of today's date?							
	PREV	VIOUS	VIOLATIONS	EFFECTIVE DATE	POINTS (1pt for NOV 5pts for CO)			
			3-01 (1 of 2) 03-01 (2 of 2)	9/10/2004 9/10/2004	<u>5</u> 5			
			TOTAL HISTORY POINTS 10					
II.	SERI	OUSN	<u>ESS</u> (Max 45pts) (R647–7-103.2.12)				
	NOTE:		For assignment of points in Parts II and III, the following apply:					
		1.	Based on facts supplied by the inspector, the Assessment Officer will determine within each category where the violation falls.					
		2.		p or down, utilizing the inspe	own, utilizing the inspector's and operator's ocuments.			
		Is this an EVENT (A) or Administrative (B) violation? <u>Event</u> (assign points according to A or B)						
A. <u>EVENT VIOLATION</u> (Max 45 pts.)								
		1.	What is the event	which the violated standard w	vas designed to prevent?			

2. What is the probability of the occurrence of the event which a violated standard was designed to prevent?

<u>PROBABILITY</u>	<u>RANGE</u>
None	0
Unlikely	1-9
Likely	10-19
Occurred	20

ASSIGN PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE POINTS 9

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

*** An Operator is required to pay permit fees and post a reclamation surety/bond with the Division of Oil Gas and Mining prior to conducting mining operations. While the Operator had a small mine permit, a bond had not been posted for reclamation of this site and the permit fee had not been paid for this year. The operator had been notified numerous times of the requirement to post a bond (the last time by certified mail) but had failed to comply within the timeframe allotted. The inspector indicated that there was no particular harm to the environment or threat to the public as a result of the violation, however, if mining were allowed to continue without the appropriate approvals or adequate surety, damage would occur although it would be unlikely. I have assigned points in the upper part of the "Unlikely" range.

3. What is the extent of actual or potential damage?

RANGE 0-25

In assigning points, consider the duration and extent of said damage or impact, in terms of area and impact on the public or environment.

ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

*** The inspector stated that it is unlikely that any damage would occur at this site as a result of the violation. Because mining has occurred without paying the permit fee or posting the appropriate surety, there is some potential for damage to occur. Because there is only potential for damage, I am assessing points in the lower end of the range.

- B. <u>ADMINISTRATIVE VIOLATIONS</u> (Max 25pts)
 - 1. Is this a POTENTIAL or ACTUAL hindrance to enforcement? _

RANGE 0-25

Assign points based on the extent to which enforcement is actually or potentially hindered by the violation.

ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS _____

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B) 14

DEGREE OF FAULT (Max 30 pts.) (R647-7-103.2.13) III.

Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of A. reasonable care? IF SO--NO NEGLIGENCE; or, was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care, the failure to abate any violation due to the same or was economic gain realized by the permittee? IF SO--GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE.

> 0 No Negligence 1-15 Negligence 16-30 Greater Degree of Fault

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE Negligence

ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS <u>15</u>

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

The inspector indicated that the operator had been warned in several letters of the requirement to post bond. The Operator had also been notified of the requirement to pay permit fees, but failed to do so. This is especially disconcerting because a violation had been issued in 2004 for failing to pay permit fees. This disregard for permitting requirements indicates indifference to the rules or lack of diligence in complying with the rules and Division instruction. A prudent operator would understand the need to provide a surety and pay the permit fees in a timely manner. The Operator was negligent in this regard almost to the point of a greater degree of Fault. I am assigning points at the top of the negligence range.

GOOD FAITH (Max 20 pts.) (R467-7-103.2.14)

(Either A or B) (Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures)

Did the operator have onsite, the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the A. violated standard within the permit area?

IF SO--EASY ABATEMENT

Easy Abatement Situation

-11 to -20* Immediate Compliance

(Immediately following the issuance of the NOV) -1 to -10

Rapid Compliance

(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation) Normal Compliance

(Operator complied within the abatement period required)

(Operator complied with condition and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

- *Assign in upper of lower half of range depending on abatement occurring the 1st or 2nd half of abatement period.
 - B. Did the permittee not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance, or does the situation require the submission of plans prior to physical activity to achieve compliance?

IF SO--DIFFICULT ABATEMENT

Difficult Abatement Situation

• Rapid Compliance -11 to -20*
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)

Normal Compliance

-1 to -10*

(Operator complied within the abatement period required)

Extended Compliance

0

(Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay within the limits of the NOV or the violated standard of the plan submitted for abatement was incomplete) (Permittee complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

EACY OR DIL	FICULT ABATEM	ENT? Difficul	t
EAST ON DIE			·

ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS 0

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

*** The abatement has not yet been completed, so good faith points cannot be awarded at this time. This category will be looked at again after the abatement has been completed. Points will be awarded depending on how quickly the abatement is met.

V. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY (R647-7-103.3)

CESS	ATION ORDER # <u>MC-07-03-02</u>	
I.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS	
II.	TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS	<u> </u>
III.	TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS	15
IV.	TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	
	TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	39
	TOTAL ÁSSESSED FINE	\$ 2,090